UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----X
BRETT JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MEMORANDUM & ORDER 13-CV-6510 (JS) (WDW)

NASSAU COUNTY, DETECTIVE JUAN GIRON, Fourth Squad; DETECTIVE ROBERT NEMETH, Fourth Squad; and DETECTIVE JARRED TEPPERMAN of the 113 Precinct,

Defendants.

----X

APPEARANCES

For Plaintiff: Brett Johnson, pro se

13005639

Nassau County Correctional Center

100 Carman Avenue
East Meadow, NY 11554

For Defendants: No appearances.

SEYBERT, District Judge:

On November 18, 2013, incarcerated <u>pro se</u> plaintiff Brett Johnson ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint in this Court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983") against Nassau County, the Nassau County Police Department's 4th Squad ("N.C.P.D."); Nassau County Detectives Juan Giron ("Det. Giron") and Robert Nemeth ("Det. Nemeth"); 18B Legal Aid Society ("Legal Aid"); David I. Levine ("Levine"); the Nassau County Detention Center ("N.C.D.C."); the N.Y.C. Police Dept., Precint [sic] 113 ("N.Y.P.D."); and N.Y. City Detective Jarred Tepperman ("Det. Tepperman"), accompanied by an application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>.

By Memorandum and Order dated January 24, 2014 (the

"Order"), the undersigned granted the application to proceed in forma pauperis, but sua sponte dismissed the pro se Complaint in part and with leave to file an Amended Complaint by March 1, 2014. The Order also stayed Plaintiff's false arrest claims against Det. Giron and Det. Nemeth pending the resolution of Plaintiff's underlying criminal charges and directed Plaintiff to notify the Court, in writing, within two (2) weeks of the conclusion of his state court criminal proceedings if he wished to proceed with his false arrest claims. The Order did not dismiss Plaintiff's claims against Det. Tepperman and directed the United States Marshal Service ("USMS") to serve copies of the Summons, Complaint, and the Order upon Det. Tepperman.

On February 24, 2014, Plaintiff timely filed an Amended Complaint against Nassau County, Det. Giron, Det. Nemeth, and Det. Tepperman. The Amended Complaint does not allege whether the state court criminal proceedings have concluded. Accordingly, the STAY of Plaintiff's false arrest claims against Det. Giron and Det. Nemeth CONTINUES pending the resolution of Plaintiff's underlying criminal charges and Plaintiff is again DIRECTED to notify the Court, in writing, within two (2) weeks of the conclusion of his state court criminal proceedings if he wishes to proceed with his false arrest claims, if so warranted at that time.

In addition, the Amended Complaint makes clear that the only information Det. Giron and Det. Nemeth received from the

secretary at Plaintiff's "therapy clinic" was his name (Am. Compl. at 5-7), rather than any "confidential medical records" as Plaintiff had originally alleged. (Compl. at 4.) Thus, Plaintiff has not alleged a plausible right to privacy claim under the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause in connection with the disclosure of any of Plaintiff's medical records. See, e.g., Matson v. Bd. of Educ. of City Sch. Dist. of N.Y., 631 F.3d 57 (2d Cir. 2011); <u>Doe v City of N.Y.</u>, 15 F.3d 264 (2d Cir. 1994); <u>see</u> also Simon v. N.Y.C. Dep't of Corr., 12-CV-8624, 2013 WL 4792840, *2-3 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 29, 2013) (holding that the incarcerated pro se plaintiff's allegation that his name was disclosed, rather than any information concerning his health or medical condition, was insufficient to state a plausible right to privacy claim under the Fourteenth Amendment). Accordingly, Plaintiff's right to privacy claims against Det. Giron and Det. Nemeth are DISMISSED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii); 1915A(b)(1).

With regard to Plaintiff's remaining claims alleged in the Amended Complaint, the Court declines to <u>sua sponte</u> dismiss them at this early juncture. Though thin, Plaintiff's remaining claims against Nassau County, Det. Giron, Det. Nemeth, and Det. Tepperman¹ shall proceed and the Clerk of the Court is ORDERED to

The Amended Complaint repeats the allegations against Det. Tepperman. Indeed, the Amended Complaint states: "Plaintiff will leave Detective Jarred Tepperman Action the same being that he was ordered served." (Am. Compl. at 7.) The Amended Complaint then repeats, verbatim, the allegations against Det. Tepperman as were set forth in the Complaint. (Compl. at 7; Am. Compl. at 7-8.) (The page numbers for the Amended Complaint are those generated by the Court's Electronic Filing System).

issue Summonses to Nassau County, Det. Giron, and Det. Nemeth, and

to forward copies of the Summmonses, the Amended Complaint, and

this Order to the United States Marshal Service for service upon

Nassau County, Det. Giron, and Det. Nemeth forthwith. Given the

STAY, the time for Det. Giron and Det. Nemeth to respond to the

false arrest claims alleged in the Amended Complaint is also STAYED

until twenty (20) days from the date the stay is lifted by the

Court.

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3)

that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith

and therefore in forma pauperis status is DENIED for the purpose of

any appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45,

82 S. Ct. 917, 8 L. Ed. 2d 21 (1962).

The Clerk of the Court is further directed to mail a copy

of this Memorandum and Order to the pro se Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT

Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated: May _____, 2014

Central Islip, New York

4